#### **MINUTES**

# POSEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS REGULAR MEETING

THE HOVEY HOUSE 330 WALNUT STREET MT. VERNON, IN 47620

> APRIL 13, 2023 5:00 P.M.

<u>MEMBERS PRESENT:</u> Mr. Larry Williams-Chairperson, Mr. Ron Fallowfield-Vice Chairperson, Dr. Keith Spurgeon, Mrs. Beth McFadin Higgins-Attorney, Mrs. Mindy Bourne-Executive Director and Mrs. Becky Wolfe-Administrative Assistant.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Mr. Mark Seib and Mr. Larry Droege.

ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS: We do have a quorum present and are able to conduct business under certain guidelines, which is a majority of the board taking action together and not the majority of the members present. This would mean that all three members present would have to vote in favor or opposed to carry an action. With this situation, we have offered our applicants the opportunity that if you do not feel comfortable presenting your request to a board and know that you have to have 100% consent of the members present then we will allow you to table it to the next meeting. You can tell us that when we call your case or if you know that now, you can state that now so you do not have to stay for the rest of the meeting.

<u>APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF LAST REGULAR MEETING:</u> Ron Fallowfield made a motion in the affirmative to approve the minutes of the last regular meeting as emailed. Motion seconded by Keith Spurgeon. Roll Call Vote (3-0). **Motion carried.** 

#### **VARIANCE:**

DOCKET NO:

23-02-V-BZA

APPLICANT:

Kelly Collins

OWNER:

Kelly D. & Monica J. Collins

PREMISIS:

Lot 11 and part of Lot 10 in Company's Subdivision of Block 17 in the Company's

Enlargement to the City of Mt. Vernon, Indiana of Section 5, Township 7 South, Range 13 West, lying in Black Township, Posey County, Indiana. More commonly known as 536 E. 10th Street, Mt. Vernon, Indiana. Containing .34 acres more or less. (Complete legal

description is on file at the Posey County Area Plan Commission Office).

NATURE OF

CASE:

Applicant requests a Variance for relaxation of rear yard setback from 25' to 10' for a 30' x 40' pole barn for proposed replat in an RT (Residential Townhouse) Zoning District Section

153.045 (B) (3) (a) of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mt. Vernon, Town of Cynthiana,

Town of Poseyville and Unincorporated Posey County.

Mr. Williams confirmed no board members had any conflict of interest.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne that the applicant met the requirements for notification per the statute.

KELLY COLLINS: 536 E 10th St., Mt. Vernon, IN. We would like to have the setbacks moved from 25' to

10' to build a 30 X 40 pole barn.

MINDY BOURNE: I am going to step in here to add some information. Kelly currently owns lot 11 and part of lot 10 in Company Subdivision, Block 17 in the Company's Enlargement. His house sits on lot 11 and part of lot 10. Lot 10 is only like 35' wide and it is vacant, nothing is sitting there right now. He wants to build the pole barn over there on that piece back behind his house. He is going before the APC at the next meeting at 6:00 PM to have them replated. He can't build that on a vacant lot without the house. He is asking to get those replatted, but he is also wanting to place them in the back of his property and there is an alley that runs back there and he is asking to reduce the 25' setback to 10' on the alleyway.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** From your notes on the map, I see there is no easements that would interfere with the build.

**KELLY COLLINS:** No sir, there is not.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** It looks like there is a lot of room back there. Is there a reason you want to put it back that far?

**<u>KELLY COLLINS:</u>** I was just trying to match it up with my other garage to keep it looking nice with the neighborhood.

LARRY WILLIAMS: Are there any neighbors close by?

**KELLY COLLINS:** No, they are actually happy that is going in.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Will there be any lighting on the pole barn?

**<u>KELLY COLLINS:</u>** There may be a light at the entrance door, but that would be it. We have a big light along the alley. It will be kept nice and neat just like my yard now.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** Entrance door is on which side of the building?

**KELLY COLLINS:** It will be on the west side of the building.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** The side that your other garage is on?

**KELLY COLLINS:** Yes. The garage door will be facing my garage.

KEITH SPURGEON: It won't be coming off the alley?

**KELLY COLLINS:** It will be coming off the alley, but it will be facing my original garage. You can drive down the alley and right into it.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** There's no door on the alley?

**KELLY COLLINS:** No sir.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Is this going to be used for storage?

BZA Agenda April 13, 2023 Page 3 of 9

**<u>KELLY COLLINS:</u>** To park my vehicle in and I like to tinker with welding and stuff so it's just kind of like my little retirement place.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** So the other part of lot 10 is owned by somebody else?

KELLY COLLINS: Yes. They have a privacy fence up on their side.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Is there a driveway to get back to the pole barn?

KELLY COLLINS: Yes sir. It's an alley.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Are there any further questions? Hearing none, you may have a seat. At this time we will open this application up for public comment. Is there anyone here wishing to speak for or against this application? Hearing none, we will close the public portion.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne there were no phone calls, emails or letters.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** We will now open this application up for comments, discussion or action by the board.

Ron Fallowfield made a motion in the affirmative to approve Docket #23-02-V-BZA subject to Area Plan approval. Motion was seconded by Keith Spurgeon. The Variance Voting Sheet was read. Roll Call Vote (3-0). Yes. Motion carried.

#### VARIANCE

DOCKET NO: 23-03-V-BZA

APPLICANT: Greg Kissel, Kissel Land Surveying OWNER: Richard E. & Karyn S. Fischer

PREMISES: Part of the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 1, Township 7 South,

Range 13 West, lying in Black Township, Posey County Indiana. More commonly known as 6500 Indian Mound Road, Mt. Vernon, Indiana. Containing 3.0 acres more or less. (Complete

legal description is on file at the Posey County Area Plan Commission Office).

NATURE OF

CASE:

Applicant requests a Variance for relaxation of side yard setback from 15' to 5' for existing pole barn and relaxation of side yard setback from 10' to 2.6' for existing pole barn for proposed Exempt I, Type E Division in an A (Agricultural) Zoning District under Section 153.033 (B) (2) (b) and 153.033 (B) (2) (a) of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mt. Vernon,

Town of Cynthiana, Town of Poseyville and Unincorporated Posey County.

Mr. Williams confirmed no board members had any conflict of interest.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne that the applicant met the requirements for notification per the statute.

**GREG KISSEL:** 1263 E. 900 South, Ft. Branch. There are two parties involved. The north party is Fritschle, and the owner of this property is Fischer. Fritschle wants to purchase a portion of Fischer's ground which includes a pole barn, but the new proposed line is kind of close to the barn. It's actually 5' off of the newer pole barn and then 2.6 off of the older woodshed. For that reason, we have to obtain a Variance to create a new property line that close to the barn.

RON FALLOWFIELD: Everything is already existing, it's just a new property line?

**GREG KISSEL:** That's right.

MINDY BOURNE: What we would call a lot line adjustment between the two property owners is an Exempt Division. It does not require any type of board approval to do that because it is classified as an Exempt I Type E. It's amongst adjoining property owners, they are not creating a new building site. Basically, I look at them and I say they are ok, they get recorded and no board approvals. On this one basically it's going to create a nonconformity. When there's existing structures, we also must make sure those structures meet the current setbacks per the Zoning Ordinance.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN HIGGINS:** In other words, we can't take action on something that creates a nonconformity.

**MINDY BOURNE:** There's not much room to work with there.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** That was my thought, 2.6' is close. You can't set up a ladder.

**GREG KISSEL:** It is close. This is an 80- or 100-year-old barn and it's wood sided. Reality is that it may not stay in that spot forever. He didn't say that, but I would have to assume that it may not last forever.

LARRY WILLIAMS: Both parties are in agreement to do this?

**GREG KISSEL:** Yes, they want to do this.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** Any thought about notching out a little bit to have 5' instead of 2.6'?

**GREG KISSEL:** It was brought up, but the parties didn't want to do that.

**<u>KEITH SPURGEON:</u>** That works well with the existing owners, but if someone new buys the property there may be problems down the road.

MINDY BOURNE: Also, a little history on this property, there's floodplain. The neighbor to the north has talked to me about building a structure. Some of that property is considered floodway, which means it needs DNR approval. I guess what I'm trying to say is there's some restrictions on that parcel since it is in floodplain to build a new building. That may be why they want this building too because it's existing.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** You said that is an old barn. If this barn falls down and they want to rebuild, will they have to stay within the 5'?

ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN HIGGINS: In considering this you can make it specific to this building because this is what you're trying to avoid, the nonconforming. It's just like with anything, if they didn't have a Variance and it was nonconforming and the barn was damaged by more than 50% or removed, then the new barn would have to be in conformance. Because you are granting a Variance, that is something you can consider to say we are granting the Variance for these particular buildings. But if these buildings are removed or damaged more than 50%, that the new building needs to meet the proper setbacks.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** And it looks like they have plenty of room to do that. Are there any further questions from the board? Hearing none, you may have a seat. We will now open this application up for public

comment. Is there anyone here wishing to speak for or against this application? Hearing none, we will close the public portion.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne there were no phone calls, emails or letters.

LARRY WILLIAMS: We will now open this application up for comments, discussion or action by the board.

MINDY BOURNE: I want to remind you that these will be two different Variances. One is for the 15' to 5' and one for the 10' to 2.6'.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** I am always very concerned when you cannot even put a ladder up for roof inspection. But with this situation I personally would be OK with it as long as we include the restrictions like Beth mentioned. Such as if it is taken down then anything new would have to follow the guidelines.

**<u>KEITH SPURGEON:</u>** Wouldn't you want to have that same restriction for both of those pole barns? I am not as concerned about the 5'but how old is that barn?

**GREG KISSEL:** I would estimate about 20 years.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** At least with 5' you can get a ladder, probably a scissor lift but still can get something to get up on a roof, but with that 2.6 you can't.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** I couldn't even walk back there with 2.6'.

<u>LARRY WILLIAMS:</u> There would be plenty of room to move them if they came down and then get them into compliance.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** With the flood plain, they are going to have a hard time getting anything if they come down.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** That is the risk you take with living in a flood plain.

**<u>KEITH SPURGEON:</u>** What if a new owner wants to build a fence? You're not replacing the building but now you have a fence that is 2.6' away.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS:** You will want to take these issues one at a time, so you will need to decide which one to address first. In discussion with Mindy, I was trying to determine if both properties were zoned the same and why they had two different side yard setbacks and is there something that is going to occur once this is all done that makes them different. She informed me that once the 5.98 acre will become part of the northern parcel which has a home on it, thus making the pole barn an accessory use for a residential. I want to make sure you all understand why there were two different setbacks but zoned the same.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** Is this one lot or two lots?

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS:** It is currently owned by the Fischers because they are the applicants.

MINDY BOURNE: If you look at your GIS map, Fischers own this right now. They have the Ag building here, the grain bins and there used to be a house on the property. It has been torn down, so these are currently

Ag buildings. One of the Ag buildings is going to go with the house to the north. So therefore, it becomes an accessory residential use structure, which can have the 15' setback. Then the remaining Ag building, which is considered a non-residential use, has the 10' setback.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** I don't have a problem with the pole barn going with the residence and it be a 5' Variance on the setback. I do have a problem with the 2.6' that has me concerned. I understand that the parties right now are all in agreement and they are friendly neighbors now. If the ownership changes hands and someone puts a fence up there.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** If the 2.6 is granted, if they put a fence up, don't they have be made aware of that before the fact?

MINDY BOURNE: A fence can be right on the property line.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS:** If someone takes it, this will all be eventually recorded. If I am a new buyer of the Ag parcel, because that is going to be the person who is going to be most affected because they are only going to have 2.6' to potentially walk. If I buy it, the survey is going to be of record, he has to record the survey which shows this.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** So, they can't come back and say I didn't know.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS:** Well, this is of record, we can't say what people are going to say. Or claim or not claim.

**GREG KISSEL:** The parties are trying to make this happen. I know it is close. May I put some language in the transaction that would suggest in the transfer deed that the maintenance on the north side of the dwelling not to be hindered. Maybe there is some verbiage we can include in the transfer deed that would help the matter if that is a concern of the Planning Commission. That would be my compromise to help remedy the situation.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN-HIGGINS:** We don't have the authority to require that in a deed. We can put restrictions of use and how it is used.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** I think that is sufficient. Since both parties want this, I guess that is why I am more likely to go with this. We have gone 2' before. Don't like to but we have.

**<u>KEITH SPURGEON:</u>** I think that would be helpful and it sounds like they would be willing to do that to try to make this happen.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Is there any action by the board?

Ron Fallowfield made a motion in the affirmative to approve Docket #23-03-V-BZA for setback from 10' to 2.6' for existing structure only. Motion was seconded by Keith Spurgeon. The Variance Voting Sheet was read. Roll Call Vote (3-0). Yes. Motion carried.

Keith Spurgeon made a motion in the affirmative to approve Docket #23-03-V-BZA for setback from 15' to 5'. Motion was seconded by Ron Fallowfield. The Variance Voting Sheet was read. Roll Call Vote (3-0). Yes. Motion carried.

MINDY BOURNE: These Variances have been approved. You just need to get the plat recorded.

**SPECIAL USE:** 

DOCKET NO: 23-04-SU-BZA

APPLICANT: Chuck Gray, Mt. Vernon Water Department

OWNER: City of Mt. Vernon, Indiana

PREMISIS: A Part of the Northwest Quarter of Section 2, Township 7 South, Range 13 West in Black

Township, Posey County, Indiana, containing 4.195 acres, more or less. More commonly known as 6300 Lamont Road, Mt. Vernon, Indiana. (Complete legal description is on file at

the Posey County Area Plan Commission Office).

NATURE OF Applicant requests Special Use to construct a 48' x 100' storage building in an (A)

CASE: Agricultural Zoning District Section 153.032 (B)(3) Use Unit 3 Public Protection and Utility

Facilities and 153.148 (B)(9) of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mt. Vernon, Town of

Cynthiana, Town of Poseyville and Unincorporated Posey County.

Mr. Williams confirmed no board members had any conflict of interest.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne that the applicant met the requirements for notification per the statute.

<u>CHUCK GRAY:</u> Mt. Vernon Wastewater Superintendent, 123 College Avenue, Mt. Vernon. We've had to increase our chemical storage at the water treatment facility of water treatment chemicals and that has substantially misplaced our parts storage. What I would like to do is put up a building at the base of the water tower for water main repair parts.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** This is just a pole barn structure?

CHUCK GRAY: Yes sir.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** It doesn't look like there are any neighbors anywhere nearby.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** The gravel drive is already there, correct?

**CHUCK GRAY:** Yes sir.

LARRY WILLIAMS: Will this building have any outside lighting on it?

CHUCK GRAY: No.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Are there any further questions from the board? Hearing none, you may have a seat. We will now open this application up for public comment. Is there anyone here wishing to speak for or against this application? Hearing none, we will close the public portion.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne there were no phone calls, emails or letters.

LARRY WILLIAMS: We will now open this application up for comments, discussion or action by the board.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** There aren't any neighbors and nothing is really involved with this.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** It looks pretty straight forward.

Ron Fallowfield made a motion in the affirmative to approve Docket #23-04-SU-BZA. Motion was seconded by Keith Spurgeon. The Special Use Voting Sheet was read. Roll Call Vote (3-0). Yes. Motion carried.

<u>MINDY BOURNE:</u> This Special Use has been approved. You will need to come into the office to get your Improvement Location Permit before starting construction on the building.

**SPECIAL USE:** 

DOCKET NO: 23-05-SU-BZA

APPLICANT: Susie Basham, Alvey Sign Company

OWNER: Mt. Pleasant Cemetery

PREMISIS: Section 22, Township 6 South, Range 13 West in Black Township, Posey County, Indiana,

containing 5.0 acres, more or less. More commonly known as 3801 Blackford Road East, Mt.

Vernon, Indiana. (Complete legal description is on file at the Posey County Area Plan

Commission Office).

NATURE OF

Applicant requests Special Use to install an identification sign in an (A) Agricultural Zoning

CASE:

District Section 153.032 (E) (2) of the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Mt. Vernon, Town of

Cynthiana, Town of Poseyville and Unincorporated Posey County.

Mr. Williams confirmed no board members had any conflict of interest.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne that the applicant met the requirements for notification per the statue.

**SUSIE BASHAM:** Alvey Sign Company, 13100 Highway 57 North, Evansville. Mt. Pleasant General Baptist Church is upgrading their sign in the front to give them a little more visibility. This is under the height restriction.

LARRY WILLIAMS: Is this a lighted sign?

**SUSIE BASHAM:** Yes, it is LED.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** How far off the road will it be?

**SUSIE BASHAM:** It will be in the exact location that the old one is. I can't right now tell you.

**RON FALLOWFIELD:** Will it be on all night long?

**SUSIE BASHAM:** No, in the evening hours.

**KEITH SPURGEON:** You say that it is going in the same spot as the old sign?

**SUSIE BASHAM:** The old sign is being removed and it is going in the same location.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Are they the same size?

**SUSIE BASHAM:** This one is a little bit bigger than the one that is there. This one will be 7' tall and will be

30 square feet.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** Are there any further questions from the board? Hearing none, you may have a seat. We will now open this application up for public comment. Is there anyone here wishing to speak for or against this application? Hearing none, we will close the public portion.

Mr. Williams confirmed with Mindy Bourne there were no phone calls, emails or letters.

**LARRY WILLIAMS:** We will now open this application up for comments, discussion or action by the board.

**ATTORNEY BETH MCFADIN HIGGINS:** This is not an electronic message board. This is just a lighted sign.

Ron Fallowfield made a motion in the affirmative to approve Docket #23-05-SU-BZA. Motion was seconded by Keith Spurgeon. The Special Use Voting Sheet was read. Roll Call Vote (3-0). Yes. Motion carried.

<u>MINDY BOURNE:</u> This Special Use has been approved. You will need to come in to get your Improvement Location Permit before you place it.

**ADDITIONAL BUSINESS:** None

**CITIZEN CONCERNS:** None

ADJOURNMENT: Keith Spurgeon made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 5:57 p.m.

Mr. Larry Williams, Chairperson

Mrs. Mindy Bourne, Executive Director

### **VARIANCE VOTING SHEET**

# POSEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS Report of Findings of Fact

	BZA N	0. 2	3-02-V-BZA				
Will the granting safety, morals	and ger	variance neral wel	fare to the comm	ne public hea nunity?	iltn,		
Larry Droege ( ) N Ron Fallowfield( ) N Mark Seib ( ) N	10 (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES*	YES O	<u>NO</u>
2. Will the use or variance be af	facted in	a subst	antially adverse	manner?			
Larry Droege ( ) Non Fallowfield ( ) Non Mark Seib ( ) Non Mark Se	NO ( NO (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(V)NO(	) YES* ) YES*	YES O	NO 3
3. Does the need included in the	e variand	ce?					
Larry Droege ( ) Ron Fallowfield( ) Mark Seib ( ) Ronger	NO* ( NO* ( L NO* (	) YES ) YES ) YES	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO* ( ( ) NO*	TYES	YES	NO O
4. Are these cond							
Larry Droege ( ) Ron Fallowfield( ) Mark Seib ( )	NO ( NO ( NO (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( INOL ( INO)	) YES* ) YES*	YES	3
5. Will the strict a unnecessary	pplicatio hardsh	n of the ip if app	applicable Ordin lied to the proper	ance constitu	ute unusua n?	al and	
Larry Droege ( ) Ron Fallowfield( ) Mark Seib ( )	NO* ( NO* (~ NO* (	) YES ) YES ) YES	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO* ( ( ) NO*	YYES ( HYES	YES 3	NC O

6. Will the granting of this variance interfere substantially with the comprehensive plan or materially increase street congestion?								
Larry Droege ( ) NO Ron Fállowfield( ) NO Mark Seib ( ) NO	( ) YES* Keith Spurgeon ( ) YES* Larry Williams ( ) YES*	( ) NO( ) YES* ( ) NO( ) YES*	YES NO					
*If any one of your answers above is followed by an asterisk, under the statue you must deny the application.  DECISION: It is therefore the decision of the Board, that the variance: is hereby granted								
	(0	late)						

### VARIANCE VOTING SHEET

# POSEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS Report of Findings of Fact

BZA	NO: 23	-03-V BZA S	deiged Debla	d from	0' 102.1	0
BZA  1. Will the granting of this safety, morals and ge	eneral we	elfare to the comm	nunity?			
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( Ron Fallowfield( 9 NO ( Mark Seib ( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(TNOL (TNO)	) YES* ) YES*	YES	3
Will the use or value or variance be affected	in a subs	stantially adverse	manner?			
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( Ron Fallowfield( 1) NO ( Mark Seib ( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO ( ( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES*	YES O	<u>NO</u> _}
Does the need for this included in the variant						
Larry Droege ( ) NO* ( Ron Fallowfield( NO* ( Mark Seib ( ) NO* (	) YES 4 YES ) YES	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO*( ( ) NO*	) YES ( YES	YES	NO O
4. Are these conditions	general i	n the same zone	?			
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( Mark Seib ( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES* ) YES*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO ( ( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES*	YES O	3
5. Will the strict application	ship if app	olied to the prope	rty in question	n?		
Larry Droege ( ) NO* ( Ron Fallowfield( ) NO* ( Mark Seib ( ) NO* (	) YES YES ) YES	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO* ( ( ) NO*	YYES ( )YES	YES	NO O

6. Will the granting of this variance interfere substantially with the comprehensive plan or materially increase street congestion?
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( ) YES* Keith Spurgeon ( YNO ( ) YES* YES NO Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( ) YES* Larry Williams ( ) NO ( ) YES* O 3 Mark Seib ( ) NO ( ) YES*
*If any one of your answers above is followed by an asterisk, under the statue you must deny the application.
DECISION: It is therefore the decision of the Board, that the variance: is hereby granted
(date)

### **VARIANCE VOTING SHEET**

## POSEY COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS Report of Findings of Fact

BZA NO:	23-03-U-BZA	Side yard	allack of	rom 1	5' 65
BZA NO:  1. Will the granting of this varia safety, morals and general	welfare to the comi	nunity?			
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( ) YES Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( ) YES Mark Seib ( ) NO ( ) YES	S* Keith Spurgeon S* Larry Williams S*	( ) NO (	) YES* ) YES*	YES O	<u>NO</u>
2. Will the use or value of the a variance be affected in a su	rea adjacent to the ubstantially adverse	property inclumanner?	uded in the		
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( ) YES Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( ) YES Mark Seib ( ) NO ( ) YES	S* Keith Spurgeon S* Larry Williams S*	( 17NO (	) YES* ) YES*	YES	3
3. Does the need for this varian included in the variance?					
Larry Droege ( ) NO* ( ) YE Ron Fallowfield( ) NO* ( ) YE Mark Seib ( ) NO* ( ) YE	S Larry Williams	( ) NO* ( ( ) NO*	LYES LYES	YES 3	NO O
4. Are these conditions genera	al in the same zone				
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( ) YE Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( ) YE Mark Seib ( ) NO ( ) YE	S* Larry Williams		) YES* ) YES*	YES	NO S
5. Will the strict application of to unnecessary hardship if a	applied to the prope	rty in questio	n?		
Larry Droege ( ) NO* ( ) YE Ron Fallowfield( ) NO* ( ) YE Mark Seib ( ) NO* ( ) YE	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) NO* ( ( ) NO*	YES ( TYES	YES	NC O

6. Will the granting of this variance interfere substantially with the comprehensive plan or materially increase street congestion?							
Larry Droege ( ) NO ( ) YES* Keith Spurgeon ( ) NO ( ) YES* YES NO Ron Fallowfield( ) NO ( ) YES* Larry Williams ( ) NO ( ) YES* O S Mark Seib ( ) NO ( ) YES*							
*If any one of your answers above is followed by an asterisk, under the statue you must deny the application.							
DECISION: It is therefore the decision of the Board, that the variance: is hereby granted() is hereby denied()							
subject to any conditions stated in the minutes of the Board, and incorporated herein and made a part of the decision. Adopted this							
(date)							

### POSEY COUNTY SPECIAL USE VOTING SHEET

Posey County Board of Zoning Appeals Report of Finding Fact

	BZA NO: 23-04-51		=2		
HAS THE APPLICANT PROV	BZA NO: 23-04-51 Church Alay, Me Verni City of Mr. Verni VEN BY SUBSTANTIAL EV	VIDENCE THI	E FOLLOWIN	iG:	
The Zoning Ordinance author	orizes the Special Use.				
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( ) Ron Fallowfield ( YES ( ) Mark Seib ( ) YES ( )	NO* Larry Williams	(YYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES Z	NO O
2. The proposed Special U	se is essential or desirable to	the public cor	venience and	welfare.	
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( ) Ron Fallowfield ( ) YES ( ) Mark Seib ( ) YES ( )	NO* Larry Williams	(YYES ( (YYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES 3	NO O
3. The proposed use at the to provide a service or a facility contribute to the general welfar	e particular location requeste y which is in the interest of p re of the neighborhood or con	ublic convenie	or desirable nee and will		
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( ) Ron Fallowfield ( ) YES ( ) Mark Seib ( ) YES (	NO* Larry Williams	(4YES ( (4YES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES	NO O
4. Granting the proposed of and the Comprehensive Plan in of the operation and the site lay	Special Use is consistent with regard to the location, size, yout with relation to existing	use, that natur	e of intensity		
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( Ron Fallowfield ( ) YES ( Mark Seib ( ) YES (	) NO* Larry Williams	(YYES ( (YYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES 3	NO O
5. The proposed Special and stability.	Use will constitute an enviro	nment of susta	ined desirabili	ity	
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( Ron Fallowfield ( ) YES ( Mark Seib ( ) YES (	) NO* Larry Williams	(UYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES 3	NO O
6. The proposed Special objectives of the Comprehensi	Use is in conformity and in ive Plan.	harmony with	the goals and		
Larry Droege ( ) YES ( Ron Fallowfield ( ) YES ( Mark Seib ( ) YES (	) NO* Larry Williams		) NO* ) NO*	YES J	NO C

detrimental to the	e health, safe	ty, morals, o	rticular case, the p r general welfare of perty values or im	of persons residence provements in	ding or the vicinity.		
Larry Droege Ron Fallowfield Mark Seib	( ) YES*	(NO	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) YES* ( ( ) YES* (	TNO LNO	YES O	<u>NO</u>
8. If the req found in the appl			pation" it meets th	ne definitional	requirements	NA	
Larry Droege Ron Fallowfield Mark Seib	( ) YES (	) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams			YES	<u>NO</u>
in The Zoning Or	rdinance of the Posey County	he City of M for such us	nply with regulati t. Vernon, Town of e and with stipular the Board.	f Cynthiana, T	own of Poseyv		
Larry Droege Ron Fallowfield Mark Seib	(YYES (	) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(VYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES	<u>NO</u>
			y uses deemed ne the Zoning Ordin			the surroun	ding area i
		الميال بي مالك المعادل الم					
					Market by		
*IF ANY ONE O STATUE YOU S			BOVE IS FOLLO PPLICATION.	WED BY AN	ASTERICK, U	JNDER TI	IE
DECISION: It is	therefore the	e decision of	the Board that the	Special Use:			
is hereby granted			_(1/				
is hereby denied			_( )				
			utes of the Board,			made a par	t of the
decision. Adopte	ed this 137	day of _	April.	20 23			

## POSEY COUNTY SPECIAL USE VOTING SHEET

Posey County Board of Zoning Appeals
Report of Finding Fact

	BZA N	0: 23-05-511-	BZA wm Company			
HAS THE APPLICA	BZA NO Server ME NT PROVEN BY SU	BSTANTIAL EVI	DENCE THE	FOLLOWIN	G:	
1. The Zoning Ordin	nance authorizes the Sp		,			
Larry Droege ( ) Ron Fallowfield ( ) Mark Seib ( )	YES ( ) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	V YES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES 3	NO O
2. The proposed	Special Use is essenti	al or desirable to t	he public conv	venience and	welfare.	
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (		Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(L) YES ( (L) YES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES 3	NO O
to provide a service	d use at the particular lor a facility which is in eral welfare of the neighbors.	the interest of pu	blic convenier	r desirable ace and will		
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (	YES ( ) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(YES (YES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES	NO O
and the Comprehens	proposed Special Use live Plan in regard to the the site layout with re-	ne location, size, ulation to existing of	r future traffic	patterns.		
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (	YES ( ) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(LYYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES J	NO C
5. The propose and stability.	ed Special Use will con				ty	
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (	) YES ( ) NO* YES ( ) NO* ) YES ( ) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(YYES ( (YYES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES	NO
6. The propose objectives of the Co	ed Special Use is in comprehensive Plan.	onformity and in ha	armony with the	ne goals and		

Larry Droege ( ) YES ( ) NO\*
Ron Fallowfield ( YES ( ) NO\*
Mark Seib ( ) YES ( ) NO\*

Keith Spurgeon (YES () NO\*
Larry Williams (YES () NO\*

detrimental to the h	circumstances of the pa health, safety, morals, o nity, or injurious to pro	r general welfare o	of persons resi	ding or		
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (	YES* ( WNO	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	( ) YES* ( ( ) YES* (	4NO 4NO	YES	<u>NO</u>
	est is for a "Home Occu able Zoning Ordinance.		e definitional	requirements	NA	
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (	) YES ( ) NO*	Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams			YES	<u>NO</u>
in The Zoning Ordin Unincorporated Pos	ed Special Use will connance of the City of Misey County for such us authorization granted by	t. Vernon, Town o e and with stipulat	f Cynthiana, T	own of Posey		
Larry Droege ( Ron Fallowfield ( Mark Seib (		Keith Spurgeon Larry Williams	(V) YES (	) NO* ) NO*	YES ?	NO Ø
	limitations or temporar te intent and purpose of				the surround	ding area i
	YOUR ANSWERS AE OULD DENY THE AF		WED BY AN	ASTERICK, U	JNDER TH	E
DECISION: It is the	erefore the decision of	the Board that the	Special Use:			
is hereby granted						
is hereby denied						
subject to any condi-	tions stated in the minu	utes of the Board, a	and incorporat	ed herein and	made a part	of the
decision. Adopted	this MA day of	April .	20 /3			